## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

EVAN WEAVER,

Plaintiff,

v.

TAMPA INVESTMENT GROUP, LLC, et

Defendants.

Case No. 5:12-cv-01117-EJD

**ORDER REMANDING CASE** 

In this action against Tampa Investment Group, LLC ("Tampa"), Halcyon Cabot Partners Ltd. ("Halcyon"), Felix Investments, LLC ("Felix"), and John Bivona ("Bivona") (collectively, "Defendants"), Plaintiff Evan Weaver ("Plaintiff") alleges various breach-of-contract and fraudrelated claims under California law.

In February 2012, Plaintiff commenced this action against Tampa in Superior Court, County of Santa Clara. Tampa subsequently removed the action to this court claiming federal jurisdiction on the basis of diversity. Plaintiff later amended his complaint and added Halcyon, Felix, and Bivona as new defendants, asserting federal jurisdiction based on diversity. Upon reviewing Plaintiff's amended complaint, however, the court was not satisfied that diversity jurisdiction existed. Thus, on August 5, 2015, this court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to demonstrate good cause why this case should not be remanded, by proving the existence of subject matter jurisdiction. See Dkt. No. 167. Plaintiff did not file a response by the deadline imposed by the court.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), if at any time before final judgment the district court determines that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction, it must remand the action to state court. Here,

Case No.: <u>5:12-cv-01117-EJD</u> ORDER REMANDING CASE

## Case 5:12-cv-01117-EJD Document 168 Filed 08/12/15 Page 2 of 2

	1
Northern District of California	
	3
	4
	4 5 6
	6
	7
	7 8
	9
	9 10
	11
	13
	12 13 14
	15
	15 16
	17
	18 19
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

United States District Court

Plaintiff has failed to plead the states of citizenship for Halcyon, Felix, and Bivona. In the absence		
of a response to this court's order to show cause, Plaintiff has not satisfied his burden to establish		
subject matter jurisdiction. See Kanter v. Warner-Lambert Co., 265 F.3d 853, 857-58 (9th Cir.		
2001) (noting that the party asserting diversity jurisdiction bears the burden of proof).		
Accordingly, this court concludes that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction over this action and, for		
that reason, will remand this case to state court.		
Based on the foregoing, the Clerk shall remand this case to Superior Court, County of		
Santa Clara, and close the file. All other matters are TERMINATED and VACATED.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated: August 12, 2015  EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge		

Case No.: <u>5:12-cv-01117-EJD</u> ORDER REMANDING CASE